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Abstract. $M_{r}=243.59$, enantiomer: orthorhombic, $P 22_{1} 2_{1}, a=7.171$ (6), $b=8.020$ (6), $c=22.73$ (1) $\AA$, $V=1307.23 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}=1.238 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$, $\lambda=1.54184 \AA, \mu=24.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=524$, room temperature, $R=0.055$ for 716 reflexions; solid solution: orthorhombic, Pnma, $a=7 \cdot 190$ (6), $b=$ 8.022 (6), $c=22.81$ (1) $\AA, V=1315.6 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}$ $=1.231 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad \mathrm{CuKa}, \quad \lambda=1.54184 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $24.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=524$, room temperature, $R=$ 0.092 for 1116 reflexions (block molecular refinement). The title compound is an optically active compound which crystallizes as a racemic solid solution. Asymmetric synthesis has been realized from chiral mandelic acid using Yarovenko's fluorinating reagent. The molecular model, obtained from the enantiomer crystal structure, is similar to those of (phenylethyl)amines already known.

Introduction. This compound (Guedj, Nabet \& Wade, 1972, 1973) is one of a series of fluorinated molecules analogous to (phenylethyl)amine, which have a pharmaceutical interest as neuroleptics, anaesthetics and anti-inflammatory agents.

We started working on crystals from a racemicmixture crystallization. Extinctions led to two possible space groups: Pna2 ${ }_{1}$, molecules in general position: racemate structure; Pnma, molecule in special position ( $m$ or $\overline{\mathrm{l}}$ ): solid solution. The first case is the most frequent so we started with this hypothesis. Statistical methods worked but the first refinements did not give a good molecular model. This could have been because the crystal or data quality was not good enough. Actually, it is not a racemate but a solid solution (statistics confirmed the centrosymmetry): on a given site there is a probability $1 / 2$ for a left molecule, $1 / 2$ for a right one (Chion, Lajzérowicz, Collet \& Jacques, 1976; Chion, Lajzérowicz, Bordeaux, Collet \& Jacques, 1978). In order to solve a solid-solution structure it is necessary to have a molecular model so we had to synthetize the enantiomer to solve its structure and obtain the model.

Experimental. Asymmetric synthesis of the title compound has been realized from chiral mandelic acid, using Yarovenko's fluorinating amine reagent (FAR) according to the method preconized by Cavalleri \& Bellasio (1970).

(LAH $=$ lithium aluminium hydride, $\quad \mathrm{DMF}=N, N-$ dimethylformamide.) Although the FAR mechanism has not yet been elucidated, it seems that the formation of ( $5 a$ ) and ( $5 b$ ) takes place with stereospecificity ( $S_{N}{ }^{2}$ or $S_{N} 1$ ). Moreover, FAR is known to react generally with retention of configuration (Cavalleri \& Bellasio, 1970; Knox, Velarde, Berger, Cuadriello \& Cross, 1964; Ayer, 1962; Mousseron-Canet \& Borgna, 1969).

DTA: Melting points: enantiomer 478 K ; solid solution 480 K . The diagram is Roozeboom type II (Jacques, Collet \& Wilen, 1981). Purity was confirmed by micropolarimetry and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR.

Micropolarimetry: white crystals (5a) $[\alpha]_{D}^{20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=+$ $33.70^{\circ}\left(c=7.53 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;(5 b)[\alpha]_{D}^{20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=-34.6^{\circ}$ ( $c=6.73 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ).
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NMR: We formed diastereoisomeric salts of (5) base with a chiral acid. The salt is observed in ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : by heteronuclear irradiation of protons we obtain a flourine signal [reduced to a singlet (Fig. 1)]. (a) base $(5 a)+(5 b)$ racemic/acid (1a) (Fig. 1a): 2 singlets corresponding to the two salts in equal percentage with chemical displacement of $\delta / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ $=-13.65$ and -14.2 p.p.m.; (b) base (5b) ( - )/acid (1a): one signal (Fig. 1b) $\delta / \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6}=-13.63$ p.p.m.; (c) check: if we assume that $(5 b)(-)$ enantiomeric purity is $100 \%$, an equal mixture of $(5 b)(-) /(1 a)$ and $(5 a)+$ (5b) racemate/(1a) leads to a proportion 75/25 between the two diastereoisomers (Fig. 1c).

X-ray measurements made on the Siemens fourcircle diffractometer of the Institut Laue-Langevin, $\omega-2 \theta$ scan, crystals cubes of 0.2 mm side, no extinction correction, scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974) ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$used), $F$ magnitudes in least-squares refinement; enantiomer: $\sin \theta / \lambda<0.59 \AA^{-1}(h<7, k<8, l<23)$, 14 reflexions used for lattice-parameter calculation, 3 standard reflexions, $3 \%$ intensity variation, 1010 reflexions measured, $R_{w}=0.058$ (unit weights) for 716 reflexions $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$; solid solution: $\sin \theta / \lambda<0.61 \AA^{-1}(h<9$, $k<10, l<28$ ), 10 reflexions used for lattice-parameter calculation, 3 standard reflexions, $4 \%$ intensity variation, 1574 reflexions measured, $R_{w}=0.095$ (unit weights) for 1116 reflexions $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$. Enantiomer structure solved by direct methods, MULTAN (Germain, Main \& Woolfson, 1971), refined with ORXFLS (Busing, Martin \& Levy, 1971), anisotropic temperature factors, hydrogen atoms theoretically positioned and not refined; max. $\Delta / \sigma=1 \cdot 2$. For solid solution, refinement was made with molecular blocks and TLS using ORION (André, Fourme \& Renaud, 1971); Cl atom remained independent (on the mirror) with anisotropic temperature factors, $\max . \Delta / \sigma=0.8$; it is interesting to emphasize that cell dimensions (very similar) and space groups ( $P 22_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ is a subgroup of Pnma) confirm the solid-solution nature of the racemate.*

Discussion. Enantiomer. The crystallographic coordinates are given in Table 1. The origin has been taken at the origin of the Pnma group. Fig. 2 is a projection of the structure on the plane ( $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ ). Distances and angles are in Fig. 3, which is a projection of the molecule on the plane $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{C}(8) \mathrm{C}(9)$. The molecule has a pseudo mirror plane which can be defined as a mean plane through atoms $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{C}(8), \mathrm{C}(9), \mathrm{C}(12)$ and
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Fig. 1. NMR diagrams.


Fig. 2. Projection of the enantiomer structure on (b, c). The origin is the origin of the Pnma group. The solid-solution mirror is on the dashed line. $0: \mathrm{Cl}$ atom.


Fig. 3. Projection of the molecule on the plane $C(9) C(8) C(7)$ showing angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$, distances ( $\AA$ ) and numbering of atoms ( $\sigma \sim 0.01 \AA, \sim 0.08^{\circ}$ ).

Table 1. Enantiomer: crystallographic coordinates (xyz) and coordinates $(\AA)$ in a system related to the model molecule ( $X Y$ ) with origin $\mathrm{C}(8) ; X$ axis $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{F} ; Y$ axis parallel to $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(7)$


Table 2. Positions and orientations of the molecules (a rotation of $\theta_{1}$ around $\mathbf{a}, \theta_{2}$ around $\mathbf{b}$ and $\theta_{3}$ around $\mathbf{c}$ makes the molecule system coincide with the crystallographic system; $u_{i}$ are the coordinates of the origin of the group)

|  | $\theta_{1}$ | $\theta_{2}$ | $\theta_{3}$ | $u_{1}$ | $u_{2}$ | $u_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enantiomer | 104.7 | 3.35 | 159.75 | 0.1917 | 0.2025 | 0.4277 |
| Solid solution | $103.96(12)$ | $3.44(6)$ | $159.21(29)$ | $0.1923(4)$ | $0.1978(6)$ | $0.4281(1)$ |
|  | 76.04 | 3.44 | -159.21 | 0.1923 | 0.3022 | 0.4281 |

the middle of $C(2)-C(6), C(3)-C(5), C(14)-C(10)$ and $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(11)$. The Cl atom is close to this plane and situated between the two nitrogenous rings related by the translation a (see Fig. 2).

Solid solution. Coordinates of the atoms in a system related to the molecule are given in Table 1. Table 2 gives the orientations and positions of the molecules in the unit cell for the enantiomer and the solid solution. The orientation of the enantiomer molecule is very close to one of the two possible orientations in the solid solution. The 'mean mirror plane' defined above makes an angle of $3(0.7)^{\circ}$ with the crystallographic mirror plane of Pnma. When a chiral molecule has pseudo mirror symmetry or a pseudo centrosymmetry it crystallizes often as a solid solution (Chion, Lajzérowicz, Bordeaux, Collet \& Jacques, 1978). The resolution of the structure with only one possible molecule on each site leads to a result which is not completely unlikely but does not correspond to reality. It is then necessary to have a molecular model and to refine with a molecular block in order to prevent the correlations between atomic coordinates.

The molecular model obtained from the enantiomer structure has an extended form in which the aromatic and nitrogenous rings are antiperiplanar and the nitrogen and fluorine atoms in the trans position. The structure is very close to structures of (phenylethyl)amines already described (Carlström \& Bergin, 1967;

Carlström, 1973; Hearn, Freeman \& Bugg, 1973). The important similarity is seen in the conformational angles $\sigma_{1} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)=65.5(9)^{\circ}, \sigma_{2} \mathrm{~F}-$ $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}=65 \cdot 1(9)^{\circ}$ and the distances: phenyl$\mathrm{F}=3.71$ (2) $\AA, \quad$ phenyl $-\mathrm{N}=5.20$ (2) $\AA, \quad \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{N}=$ $2.90(0.015) \AA$ which are very close to those obtained in other (phenylethyl)amines and which seem to play an important role in the activity of this type of molecule (Gadret, Léger, Carpy \& Berthod, 1978; Barrans, Cotrait \& Dangoumau, 1973; Ammon, Balsamo, Macchia, Macchia, Howe \& Keefe, 1975; Ammon, Howe, Erhardt, Balsamo, Macchia, Macchia \& Keefe, 1977).
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#### Abstract

The crystal structure of $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ should be described as orthorhombic, space group $P 2,2,2_{1}$, with $a$ $=8.485(1), \quad b=28.005(5), \quad c=4.975$ (1) $\AA$ rather than monoclinic, $P 2_{1}$, as originally reported [Sugio, Mizuno, Kitamura, Hamada, Ikehara \& Tomita (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 745-747].

Sugio, Mizuno, Kitamura, Hamada, Ikehara \& Tomita (1983) have described the structure of this compound as monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1}$, with $a=32.747$ (6), $b=$ 4.975 (1), $c=8.485$ (1) $\dot{A}, \beta=121.22$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}, Z=4$. Choosing [102] as the $a$ axis leads to an effectively orthorhombic unit cell $\left[\beta^{\prime}=90.01(1)^{\circ}\right]$ and the two independent molecules in the $P 2_{1}$ description are related, almost within the * Contribution No. 6707 from the Arthur Amos Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Research Grant No. GM 16966.
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coordinate e.s.d.'s, by the additional $2_{1}$ axes of space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. The necessary coordinate transformations are $x^{\prime}=x, y^{\prime}=y-0.1009, z^{\prime}=z-2 x+0.25$. A permutation of axes $a^{\prime} b^{\prime} c^{\prime}=c a b$ then gives the standard setting. Successful refinement in $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ is documented in the following paper (Sugio et al., 1984).

Contrary to the final sentence by Sugio et al. (1983), the water molecule apparently does participate as a hydrogenbond donor - to $\mathrm{N}(3)$, at 2.923 (7) $\AA$, and possibly to a second $\mathrm{N}(3)$ at $x, y, z+1,3 \cdot 219$ (7) $\AA$. [These numbers become 2.924 (4) and 3.215 (4) $\AA$ after the $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ refinement of Sugio et al. (1984).]
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#### Abstract

The space group of the title compound [Sugio, Mizuno, Kitamura, Hamada, Ikehara \& Tomita (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 745-747] is not $P 2_{1}$ but $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. The new cell
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parameters refined using the $2 \theta$ values of 25 reflections are $a=8.485$ (1), $\quad b=28.002$ (4), $\quad c=4.975$ (4) $\AA, \quad Z=4$. Refinement based on the correct space group gave $R=0.042$ for 1127 reflections.
© 1984 International Union of Crystallography


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, TLS tensors, H -atom coordinates, dihedral angles and least-squares planes have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 39097 ( 40 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

